Implementation Stage Quality Assurance Report

Form Status: Approved	
Overall Rating:	Satisfactory
Decision:	Continue as planned: The project is of sufficient quality to continue as planned. All management actions must be addressed in a timely manner.
Portfolio/Project Number:	00122761
Portfolio/Project Title:	Afianzando Capacidades Lucha Impunidad
Portfolio/Project Date:	2019-11-15 / 2021-12-31

Strategic

Quality Rating: Satisfactory

1. Is the project pro-actively identifying changes to the external environment and incorporating them into the project strategy?

- 3: The project team has identified relevant changes in the external environment that may present new opportunities or threats to the project's ability to achieve its objectives and the assumptions have been tested to determine if the project's strategy is still valid. There is evidence that the project board has considered the implications, and documented any changes needed to the project in response. (all must be true)
- 2: The project team has identified relevant changes in the external environment that may present new opportunities or threats to the project's ability to achieve its objectives. There is some evidence that the project board discussed this, but relevant changes may not have been fully integrated in the project. (both must be true)
- 1: The project team may have considered relevant changes in the external environment since implementation began, but there is no evidence that the project team has considered changes to the project as a result.

El proyecto inició su implementación en Julio 2020 d espués de pasar por un proceso de aprobación y dic támenes en el Ministerio Público, Segeplán y el Mini sterio de Relaciones Exteriores. El documento de pr oyecto indica que se han identificado las oportunida des existentes para que el proyecto alcance sus obj etivos tales como la institucionalización de la Fiscalí a Especial contra la Impunidad en el año 2018.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	00122761PRODOCAfianzandoCapacidades delMP_7298_201 (https://intranet.undp.org/a pps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/0012276 1PRODOCAfianzandoCapacidadesdelMP_7 298_201.pdf)	maria.deleon@undp.org	1/21/2021 10:47:00 PM

2. Is the project aligned with the UNDP Strategic Plan?

- 3: The project responds at least one of the development settings³ as specified in the Strategic Plan (SP) and adopts at least one Signature Solution⁴ and the project's RRF includes at all the relevant SP output indicators. (all must be true)
- 2: The project responds to one of the three areas of development work¹ as specified in the Strategic Plan. The project's RRF includes at least one SP output indicator, if relevant. (both must be true)
- 1: While the project may respond to a partner's identified need, this need falls outside the UNDP Strategic Plan.
 Also select this option if none of the relevant SP indicators are included in the RRF.

Evidence:

La presente iniciativa se relaciona con el entorno de desarrollo "Aceleración de las transformaciones estr ucturales para el desarrollo sostenible", el problema central se relaciona con la solución emblemática de "gobernanza por sociedades pacíficas, justas e inclu sivas" y se enfocará en el fortalecimiento del model o de gestión de la Fiscalía de Sección FECI con el o bjeto de asegurar y dar continuidad a los resultados alcanzados durante los últimos años afianzando de esta forma las capacidades del Ministerio Público en la lucha contra la impunidad.

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	00122761PRODOCAfianzandoCapacidades delMP_7298_202 (https://intranet.undp.org/a pps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/0012276 1PRODOCAfianzandoCapacidadesdelMP_7 298_202.pdf)	maria.deleon@undp.org	1/25/2021 10:44:00 PM

Relevant

Quality Rating: Satisfactory

3. Are the project's targeted groups being systematically engaged, with a priority focus on the discriminated and marginalized, to ensure the project remains relevant for them?

- 3: Systematic and structured feedback has been collected over the past two years from a representative sample of beneficiaries, with a priority focus on the discriminated and marginalized, as part of the project's monitoring system. Representatives from the targeted groups are active members of the project's governance mechanism (i.e., the project board or equivalent) and there is credible evidence that their feedback informs project decision making. (all must be true)
- 2: Targeted groups have been engaged in implementation and monitoring, with a priority focus on the discriminated and marginalized. Beneficiary feedback, which may be anecdotal, has been collected over the past year to ensure the project is addressing local priorities. This information has been used to inform project decision making. (all must be true)
- 1: Some beneficiary feedback may have been collected over the past year, but this information has not been used to inform project decision making. This option is also selected if no beneficiary feedback has been collected.
- Not Applicable

Evidence:

El grupo meta del proyecto son los funcionarios del Ministerio Público, quienes recibirán fortalecimiento en el modelo de gestión de la Fiscalía Especial cont ra la Impunidad (FECI). Funcionarios de áreas que dan apoyo en materia de investigación y análisis a l a FECI como la Dirección de Análisis Criminal, la Dir ección de Investigaciones Criminalísticas y el Siste ma Informático de Control de Investigación del MP (SICOMP) han estado involucrados en la definición de las primeras acciones a realizar con el proyecto. Con la participación de estas unidades se ha prioriz ado la digitalización de expedientes al SICOMP com o una de las primeras actividades del proyecto.

Li	st of Uploaded Documents		
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No	documents available.		

4. Is the project generating knowledge and lessons learned (i.e., what has worked and what has not) and has this knowledge informed management decisions to ensure the continued relevance of the project towards its stated objectives, the quality of its outputs and the management of risk?

- 3: Knowledge and lessons learned from internal or external sources (gained, for example, from Peer Assists, After Action Reviews or Lessons Learned Workshops) backed by credible evidence from evaluation, corporate policies/strategies, analysis and monitoring have been discussed in project board meetings and reflected in the minutes. There is clear evidence that changes were made to the project to ensure its continued relevance. (both must be true)
- 2: Knowledge and lessons learned backed by relatively limited evidence, drawn mainly from within the project, have been considered by the project team. There is some evidence that changes were made to the project as a result to ensure its continued relevance. (both must be true)

1: There is limited or no evidence that knowledge and lessons learned have been collected by the project team.
 There is little or no evidence that this has informed project decision making.

Evidence:

El proyecto lleva un registro de las principales leccio nes aprendidas. Debido a que el proyecto inició su i mplementación en Julio 2020, han sido pocas las le cciones aprendidas documentadas. Sin embargo, se han considerado incluirlas como parte de la planifica ción del plan anual 2021.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	LessonsLearnedLog_Proyecto00122761_72 98_204 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/Projec tQA/QAFormDocuments/LessonsLearnedLo g_Proyecto00122761_7298_204.docx)	maria.deleon@undp.org	1/25/2021 11:29:00 PM

5. Is the project sufficiently at scale, or is there potential to scale up in the future, to meaningfully contribute to development change?

- 3: There is credible evidence that the project is reaching a sufficient number of beneficiaries (either directly through significant coverage of target groups, or indirectly, through policy change) to meaningfully contribute to development change.
- 2: While the project is currently not at scale, there are explicit plans in place to scale up the project in the future (e.g. by extending its coverage or using project results to advocate for policy change).
- 1: The project is not at scale, and there are no plans currently to scale up the project in the future.

El proyecto ha contemplado el diseño de normativa que permita una aplicación de carácter nacional en I a aplicación del modelo de gestión de la Fiscalía Es pecial contra la Impunidad. Asimismo, ha contempla do contribuir a la descentralización de los servicios de la Fiscalía fortaleciendo la Oficina Regional ubica da en Quetzaltenango con fondos de Cooperación S uiza.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	00122761PRODOCFECIMPJulio2020_7298 _205 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQ A/QAFormDocuments/00122761PRODOCF ECIMPJulio2020_7298_205.pdf)	maria.deleon@undp.org	1/25/2021 11:43:00 PM

Principled

Quality Rating: Satisfactory

6. Are the project's measures (through outputs, activities, indicators) to address gender inequalities and empower women relevant and producing the intended effect? If not, evidence-based adjustments and changes have been made.

- 3: The project team has systematically gathered data and evidence through project monitoring on the relevance of the measures to address gender inequalities and empower women. Analysis of data and evidence were used to inform adjustments and changes, as appropriate. (both must be true)
- 2: The project team has some data and evidence on the relevance of the measures to address gender inequalities and empower women. There is evidence that at least some adjustments were made, as appropriate. (both must be true)
- 1: The project team has limited or no evidence on the relevance of measures to address gender inequalities and empowering women. No evidence of adjustments and/or changes made. This option should also be selected if the project has no measures to address gender inequalities and empower women relevant to the project results and activities.

La Dirección de Investigaciones Criminalísticas apor ta el sustento científico y material a la investigación, mediante la recolección de los indicios de prueba en la escena del crimen y la investigación, lo cual result a fundamental para la persecución penal. En el proy ecto se ha considerado la transferencia de capacida des investigativas especializadas mediante el fortale cimiento técnico de los investigadores en criminalísti ca en lo que concierne al mandato específico de la FECI.

Esta transferencia de capacidades en investigación, también incluirá la incorporación de herramientas y enfoques de género para la investigación criminal.

Li	st of Uploaded Documents		
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	00122761PRODOCFECIMPJulio2020_7298 _206 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQ A/QAFormDocuments/00122761PRODOCF ECIMPJulio2020_7298_206.pdf)	maria.deleon@undp.org	1/26/2021 12:05:00 AM

7. Are social and environmental impacts and risks being successfully managed and monitored?

- 3: Social and environmental risks are tracked in the risk log. Appropriate assessments conducted where required (i.e., Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) for High risk projects and some level of social and environmental assessment for Moderate risk projects as identified through SESP). Relevant management plan(s) developed for identified risks through consultative process and implemented, resourced, and monitored. Risks effectively managed or mitigated. If there has been a substantive change to the project or change in context that affects risk levels, the SESP is updated to reflect these changes. (all must be true)
- 2: Social and environmental risks are tracked in the risk log. Appropriate assessments conducted where required (i.e., Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) for High risk projects and some level of social and environmental assessment for Moderate risk projects as identified through SESP). Relevant management plan(s) developed, implemented and monitored for identified risks. OR project is categorized as Low risk through the SESP.
- 1: Social and environmental risks have not been tracked in the risk log. For projects categorized as High or Moderate Risk there is no evidence that social and environmental assessments have been completed and/or management plans or measures development, implemented or monitored. There have been substantive changes to the project or changes in the context but SESP has not been updated. (any may be true)

No se han identificado riesgos ambientales o sociale s en el SESP por lo que el proyecto se ha clasificad o de bajo riesgo.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	00122761SESPProyectoMPFECI_Signed_7 298_207 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/Proj ectQA/QAFormDocuments/00122761SESPP royectoMPFECI_Signed_7298_207.pdf)	maria.deleon@undp.org	1/26/2021 12:20:00 AM

8. Are grievance mechanisms available to project-affected people and are grievances (if any) addressed to ensure any perceived harm is effectively mitigated?

- 3: Project-affected people have been actively informed of UNDP's Corporate Accountability Mechanism (SRM/SECU) and how to access it. If the project is categorized as High or Moderate Risk through the SESP, a project -level grievance mechanism is in place and project affected people informed. If grievances have been received, they are effectively addressed in accordance with SRM Guidance. (all must be true)
- 2: Project-affected people have been informed of UNDP's Corporate Accountability Mechanism and how to access it. If the project is categorized as High Risk through the SESP, a project -level grievance mechanism is in place and project affected people informed. If grievances have been received they are responded to but face challenges in arriving at a resolution.
- 1: Project-affected people not informed of UNDP's Corporate Accountability Mechanism. If grievances have been received they are not responded to. (any may be true)

Evidence:

El proyecto ha establecido un Comité Ejecutivo conf ormado por la Unión Europea, PNUD y Fiscal Gener al y un Comité Técnico liderado por la Oficial de Pro gramas como los mecanismos de rendición de cuen tas del proyecto. Ambos Comités participaron en la primera Junta de Proyecto realizada en julio 2020, e n el cual se presentaron los mecanismos de rendició n de cuentas, la periodicidad de sus reuniones y los miembros.

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	00122761MinutaJuntadeProyectosJulio2020 _7298_208 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/Pr ojectQA/QAFormDocuments/00122761Minut aJuntadeProyectosJulio2020_7298_208.pdf)	maria.deleon@undp.org	1/26/2021 12:23:00 AM

Management & Monitoring

Quality Rating: Satisfactory

9. Is the project's M&E Plan sufficient and adequately implemented?

- 3: The project has a comprehensive and costed M&E plan. Baselines, targets and milestones are fully populated. Progress data against indicators in the project's RRF is being reported regularly using credible data sources and collected according to the frequency stated in the Plan, including sex disaggregated data as relevant. Any evaluations conducted, if relevant, fully meet decentralized evaluation standards, including gender UNEG standards. Lessons learned, including during evaluations and/or After Action Reviews, are used to take corrective actions when necessary. (all must be true)
- 2: The project has a costed M&E Plan, and most baselines and targets are populated. Progress data against indicators in the project's RRF is collected on a regular basis, although there may be some slippage in following the frequency stated in the Plan and data sources are not always reliable. Any evaluations conducted, if relevant, meet most decentralized evaluation standards. Lessons learned have been captured but may not have been used to take corrective actions yet. (all must be true)
- 1: The project has an M&E Plan, but costs are not clearly planned and budgeted for, or are unrealistic.
 Progress data is not being regularly collected against the indicators in the project's RRF. Evaluations may not meet decentralized evaluation standards. Lessons learned are rarely captured and used. Select this option also if the project does not have an M&E plan.

Evidence:

El proyecto ha establecido un Plan de M&E el cual c ontiene información sobre indicadores, línea de bas e, frecuencia de recolección de información y respo nsables. Se ha recopilado información sobre indicad ores de eficiencia de la FECI para establecer una lín ea de base comparable a lo largo del proyecto. Infor mación sobre actividades de monitoreo han sido ing resadas al Atlas.

Li	st of Uploaded Documents		
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	00122761PRODOCFECIMPJulio2020_7298 _209 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQ A/QAFormDocuments/00122761PRODOCF ECIMPJulio2020_7298_209.pdf)	maria.deleon@undp.org	1/26/2021 12:31:00 AM

10. Is project's governance mechanism (i.e., the project board or equivalent) functioning as intended?

- 3: The project's governance mechanism is operating well, and is a model for other projects. It has met in the agreed frequency stated in the project document and the minutes of the meetings are on file. There is regular (at least annual) progress reporting to the project board or equivalent on results, risks and opportunities. It is clear that the project board explicitly reviews and uses evidence, including progress data, knowledge, lessons and evaluations, as the basis for informing management decisions (e.g., change in strategy, approach, work plan.) (all must be true to select this option)
- 2: The project's governance mechanism has met in the agreed frequency and the minutes of the meeting are on file. A project progress report has been submitted to the project board or equivalent at least once in the past year, covering results, risks and opportunities. (both must be true to select this option)
- 1: The project's governance mechanism has not met in the frequency stated in the project document over the past year and/or the project board or equivalent is not functioning as a decision-making body for the project as intended.

Evidence:

El proyecto ha establecido un Comité Ejecutivo conf ormado por la Unión Europea, PNUD y Fiscal Gener al y un Comité Técnico liderado por la Oficial de Pro gramas como los mecanismos de rendición de cuen tas del proyecto. Ambos Comités participaron en la primera Junta de Proyecto realizada en julio 2020, e n esta junta se presentó el proyecto, objetivos, marc o de resultados, presupuesto, riesgos y principales actividades.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	00122761MinutaJuntadeProyectosJulio2020 _7298_210 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/Pr ojectQA/QAFormDocuments/00122761Minut aJuntadeProyectosJulio2020_7298_210.pdf)	maria.deleon@undp.org	1/26/2021 12:33:00 AM

11. Are risks to the project adequately monitored and managed?

\bigcirc	3: The project has actively monitored risks every quarter including consulting with key stakeholders, including
	security advisors, to identify continuing and emerging risks and to assess if the main assumptions remain valid.
	There is clear evidence that relevant management plans and mitigating measures are being fully implemented
	to address each key project risk, and have been updated to reflect the latest risk assessment. (all must be true)

2: The project has monitored risks every year, as evidenced by an updated risk log. Some updates have been
made to management plans and mitigation measures.

1: The risk log has not been updated as required. There may be some evidence that the project has monitored risks (including security risks or incidents) that may affect the project's achievement of results, but there is no explicit evidence that management actions have been taken to mitigate risks. In the case of a deteriorating security environment, no consultation has occurred with the UNDP Security Office on appropriate measures.

Evidence:

El proyecto ha monitoreado los riesgos trimestralme nte y actualizado las medidas de mitigación durante el año.

Image: state of Uploaded Documents Modified By Modified On # File Name Modified By Modified On No documents available. Image: state sta

Efficient

Quality Rating: Satisfactory

12. Adequate resources have been mobilized to achieve intended results. If not, management decisions were taken to adjust expected results in the project's results framework.

YesNo

Evidence:

El proyecto ha sido financiado en su totalidad con fo ndos del fideicomiso de la Unión Europea. Recursos adicionales para ampliar las acciones del proyecto h an sido movilizados con Cooperación Suiza.

Li	st of Uploaded Documents		
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	00122761PRODOCFECIMPJulio2020_7298 _212 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQ A/QAFormDocuments/00122761PRODOCF ECIMPJulio2020_7298_212.pdf)	maria.deleon@undp.org	1/26/2021 12:42:00 AM

13. Are project inputs procured and delivered on time to efficiently contribute to results?

- 3: The project has an updated procurement plan. Implementation of the plan is on or ahead of schedule. The project quarterly reviews operational bottlenecks to procuring inputs in a timely manner and addresses them through appropriate management actions. (all must be true)
- 2: The project has an updated procurement plan. The project annually reviews operational bottlenecks to procuring inputs in a timely manner and addresses them through appropriate management actions. (all must be true)
- 1: The project does not have an updated procurement plan. The project may or may not have reviewed operational bottlenecks to procuring inputs in a timely manner, however management actions have not been taken to address them.

Evidence:

El proyecto ha establecido un plan de adquisiciones el cual se ejecutó en un 60% durante el año 2020, d ebido a los retrasos en el proceso de contratación d e consultores.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	PlandeAdquisiciones2020Proyecto00122761 _7298_213 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/Pr ojectQA/QAFormDocuments/PlandeAdquisici ones2020Proyecto00122761_7298_213.pdf)	maria.deleon@undp.org	1/26/2021 12:51:00 AM

14. Is there regular monitoring and recording of cost efficiencies taking into account the expected quality of results?

3: There is evidence that the project regularly reviews costs against relevant comparators (e.g., other projects or country offices) or industry benchmarks to ensure the project maximizes results that can be delivered with given resources. The project actively coordinates with other relevant ongoing projects and initiatives (UNDP or other) to ensure complementarity and seek efficiencies wherever possible (e.g. joint activities.) (both must be true)

2: The project monitors its own costs and gives anecdotal examples of cost efficiencies (e.g., spending less to get the same result,) but there is no systematic analysis of costs and no link to the expected quality of results delivered. The project coordinates activities with other projects to achieve cost efficiency gains.

1: There is little or no evidence that the project monitors its own costs and is considering ways to save money beyond following standard procurement rules.

Evidence:

El proyecto sigue los procedimientos establecidos e n el POPP para adquisiciones y contrataciones. Por ello, se ha buscado optimizar los recursos y alcanza r una mayor eficiencia en el uso del presupuesto.

Image: state of Uploaded Documents Modified By Modified On # File Name Modified By Modified On No documents available. Image: state sta

Effective

Quality Rating: Satisfactory

15. Is the project is on track to deliver its expected outputs?

Yes

No

Evidence:

El proyecto inició su implementación en el mes de ju lio de 2020 y se tiene planificado alcanzar con los pr oductos y mestas establecidas durante todo el año 2021.

Li	st of Uploaded Documents		
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No	documents available.		
16. H	lave there been regular reviews of the work plan	to ensure that the project is on trac	k to achieve the desired

3: Quarterly progress data has informed regular reviews of the project work plan to ensure that the activities implemented are most likely to achieve the desired results. There is evidence that data and lessons learned

implemented are most likely to achieve the desired results. There is evidence that data and lessons learned (including from evaluations and/or After Action Reviews) have been used to inform course corrections, as needed. Any necessary budget revisions have been made. (both must be true)

2: There has been at least one review of the work plan per year to assess if project activities are on track to achieving the desired development results (i.e., outputs.) There may or may not be evidence that data or lessons learned has been used to inform the review(s). Any necessary budget revisions have been made.

1: While the project team may have reviewed the work plan at least once over the past year to ensure outputs are delivered on time, no link has been made to the delivery of desired development results. Select this option also if no review of the work plan by management has taken place over the past year.

Evidence:

En el año 2021 se ha realizado la revisión sustantiv a del proyecto, en la cual se han hecho los ajustes n ecesarios para alcanzar las metas para la vida del p royecto, incluida la revisión presupuestaria. Esto se reflejará en el POA 2021.

results, and to inform course corrections if needed?

List of Uploaded Documents # File Name Modified By Modified On No documents available. Voluments available. Voluments available.

17. Are targeted groups being systematically identified and engaged, prioritizing the marginalized and excluded, to ensure results are achieved as expected?

3: The project is targeting specific groups and/or geographic areas, identified by using credible data sources on their capacity needs, deprivation and/or exclusion from development opportunities relevant to the project's area of work. There is clear evidence that the targeted groups are being reached as intended. The project has engaged regularly with targeted groups over the past year to assess whether they are benefiting as expected and adjustments were made if necessary to refine targeting. (all must be true)

- 2: The project is targeting specific groups and/or geographic areas, based on some evidence of their capacity needs, deprivation and/or exclusion from development opportunities relevant to the project's area of work. Some evidence is provided to confirm that project beneficiaries are members of the targeted groups. There has been some engagement with beneficiaries in the past year to assess whether they are benefiting as expected. (all must be true)
- 1: The project does not report on specific targeted groups. There is no evidence to confirm that project beneficiaries are deprived and/or excluded from development opportunities relevant to the project area of work. There may have been some engagement with beneficiaries to assess whether they are benefiting as expected, but it has been limited or has not occurred in the past year.
- Not Applicable

Evidence:

Para la elaboración del proyecto se realizaron reuni ones con las diferentes áreas involucradas en el pro yecto para garantizar que las acciones programadas son estratégicas y que nos permitirán institucionaliz ar los resultados.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	00122761PRODOCFECIMPJulio2020_7298 _217 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQ A/QAFormDocuments/00122761PRODOCF ECIMPJulio2020_7298_217.pdf)	maria.deleon@undp.org	1/27/2021 12:21:00 AM

Sustainability & National Ownership

Quality Rating: Satisfactory

18. Are stakeholders and national partners fully engaged in the decision-making, implementation and monitoring of the project?

3: Only national systems (i.e., procurement, monitoring, evaluation, etc.) are used to fully implement and monitor the project. All relevant stakeholders and partners are fully and actively engaged in the process, playing a lead role in project decision-making, implementation and monitoring. (both must be true)

- 2: National systems (i.e., procurement, monitoring, evaluation, etc.) are used to implement and monitor the project, but other support (such as country office support or project systems) may also be used if necessary. All relevant stakeholders and partners are fully and actively engaged in the process, playing an active role in project decision-making, implementation and monitoring. (both must be true)
- 1: There is relatively limited or no engagement with national stakeholders and partners in the decision-making, implementation and/or monitoring of the project.

Not Applicable

Evidence:

El proyecto se ejecuta bajo la modalidad DIM. El Mi nisterio Público ha sido involucrado en todas las dec isiones del proyecto, su implementación y monitore o.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On

No documents available.

19. There is regular monitoring of changes in capacities and performance of institutions and systems relevant to the project, as needed. The implementation arrangements⁵ have been adjusted according to changes in partner capacities.

- 3: In the past two years, changes in capacities and performance of institutions and systems have been comprehensively assessed/monitored using clear indicators, rigorous methods of data collection and credible data sources including relevant HACT assurance activities. Implementation arrangements have been formally reviewed and adjusted, if needed, in agreement with partners according to changes in partner capacities. (both must be true)
- 2: In the past two years, aspects of changes in capacities and performance of relevant national institutions and systems have been monitored by the project using indicators and reasonably credible data sources including relevant HACT assurance activities. Some adjustment has been made to implementation arrangements if needed to reflect changes in partner capacities. (both must be true)
- 1: Some aspects of changes in capacities and performance of relevant national institutions and systems may have been monitored by the project, however changes to implementation arrangements have not been considered. Also select this option if changes in capacities and performance of relevant national institutions and systems have not been monitored by the project.
- Not Applicable

El proyecto se ejecuta bajo la modalidad DIM.

Lis	st of Uploaded Documents		
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No	documents available.		

20. The transition and phase-out arrangements are reviewed regularly and adjusted according to progress (including financial commitments and capacity).

- 3: The project's governance mechanism has reviewed the project's sustainability plan, including arrangements for transition and phase-out, to ensure the project is on track in meeting the requirements set out by the plan. The plan has been adjusted according to progress as needed. (both must be true)
- 2: There has been a review of the project's sustainability plan, including arrangements for transition and phaseout, to ensure the project is on track in meeting the requirements set out by the plan.
- 1: The project may have a sustainability plan, but there has not been a review of this strategy since it was developed. Also select this option if the project does not have a sustainability strategy.

Evidence:

A través de la institucionalización de los resultados del proyecto las partes interesadas pueden replicar l os mismos en otras áreas de intervención promovie ndo así la movilización de recursos. Las experiencia s de la FECI serán replicadas en otras fiscalías a niv el distrital.

	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
_		!	!
0	documents available.		

QA Summary/Project Board Comments

El proyecto inició su implementación en Julio 2020, después de la búsqueda del dictamen técnico de Segeplan y la aprobación del Ministerio de Relaciones Exteriores proceso que se realizó en un período de seis meses. El Ministeri o de Relaciones Exteriores dictaminó que el Convenio vigente entre el MP y el PNUD respalda la implementación d el proyecto. Una de las primeras acciones realizadas por el proyecto fue la elaboración de una hoja de ruta para el f ortalecimiento de la Fiscalía Especial contra la Impunidad a mediano y largo plazo, la cual ha establecido hitos clav e a alcanzar para incorporar el modelo de gestión de la Fiscalía en el MP. Uno de estos hitos clave es la digitalizaci ón de expedientes de la Fiscalía al SICOMP. Para iniciar este proceso, se inició el proceso de contratación de digita dores y un supervisor. Asimismo, se inició el proceso de contratación de digitadores y analistas financieros y crimina les para la Dirección de Análisis Criminal. El Ministerio Público debe aprobar el proceso de contratación de este per sonal técnico para iniciar con la implementación de estas primeras acciones que son clave para el fortalecimiento d e la Fiscalía. En el año 2021 se espera completar los productos y metas planificadas para el proyecto.